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#### Abstract

An anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility (AMS) analysis was conducted for a typical S-C mylonite in a small-scale ductile shear zone derived from Late Cretaceous magnetite- free granite in the Ryoke Belt, Teshima Island, southwest Japan. In such mylonites, paramagnetic minerals such as biotite and hornblende, which define foliations, are assumed to control the AMS. Accordingly, we attempted to measure the orientations of both minerals to correlate the $S-C-C^{\prime}$ fabric to the AMS using techniques of microscopic and back-scattered electron (BSE) image analyses. A measured magnetic fabric of the S-C mylonite that is expressed by a $K_{\min }$ normal plane ( $K_{\max }-K_{\mathrm{int}}$ plane) approximated S foliation, $K_{\max }$ orientation coincided well with the orientations of mean resultant vectors for long axes of biotite and minor hornblende, whereas $K_{\max }$ did not coincide well with those of the mean resultant vectors for long axes of the brighter domain (aggregates of biotite and minor hornblende) from the binary BSE image. These results show that the magnetic fabric fairly reflects the shape preferred orientation of individual grains of paramagnetic monoclinic minerals, especially biotite, which forms the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ fabric.


© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

The anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility (AMS) is affected by the sum of the contributions of each magnetic (diamagnetic, paramagnetic, ferromagnetic) mineral type in proportion to its volume fraction, mean susceptibilities and mineral intrinsic AMS (e.g. Borradaile and Jackson, 2004). AMS is a symmetrical secondorder tensor $\left(K_{i j}\right)$, which relates the intensity of the applied field $\left(H_{j}\right)$ to the acquired magnetization $\left(M_{i}\right)$ of a mineral through the equation: $M_{i}=K_{i j} H_{j}$ (Hrouda, 1982). The tensor is geometrically expressed by an ellipsoid specified by its principal eigenvalues (susceptibility magnitudes) and eigenvectors (their orientations), $K_{\max } \geq K_{\text {int }} \geq K_{\text {min }}$ (e.g. Tarling and Hrouda, 1993). In the case of deformed magnetite-free granitic rocks, paramagnetic Fe-bearing silicates, which define foliations, are responsible for AMS (e.g. Rochette, 1987; Archanjo et al., 1994). For instance, when single preferred orientation fabrics exist in a rock, AMS is a powerful tool to investigate petrofabric, because its principal axis $\left(K_{\max }, K_{\text {int }}, K_{\min }\right)$ often corresponds with the principal axis ( $X, Y, Z$ ) of finite strain (e.g. Borradaile and Henry, 1997). In contrast, when multiple

[^0]mineral orientations are present in a rock, a measured magnetic fabric will reflect a composite of these orientation fabrics (Borradaile and Tarling, 1981). Such composite magnetic fabrics do not correspond to finite strain. A situation of importance to structural geology where this issue might arise is in the use of AMS to infer deformation in an $S$-C mylonite. For example, Tomezzoli et al. (2003) reported that the magnetic foliation, defined as the plane normal to $K_{\min }$, of S-C mylonite departs from the orientations of the S-plane. The magnetic foliation of deformed rocks with S-C structure can show an intermediate orientation between the $S$ - and the C-planes reflecting the additive effect of two planar structures (Aranguren et al., 1996).

The main aim of this study is to use a single sample across an $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}$ mylonitic shear zone to investigate the relationships of the AMS geometry with rock fabric geometry. The advantage of this approach is that a detailed spatial comparison from the perimeter to a shear zone center is achieved. For this analysis, the AMS is characterized by the content of paramagnetic Fe-bearing minerals, the mineral shape-fabric by optical inspection, and the mineral-aggregate shape fabric by back-scatter electron (BSE) image analysis.

## 2. Geological setting

The S-C mylonite sample is from magnetite-free, biotite-hornblende granite in the Teshima Island, Seto Inland Sea, southwest


Fig. 1. Geological map of Teshima Island, Seto Inland Sea, SW Japan. The shear zone sample point is shown in the bottom picture in which the scale is in centimeters. C, shear zone center.

Japan ( $34^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 60^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 133^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ ). This island is located in the Ryoke Belt, which is composed of Late Cretaceous foliated older granite and non-foliated younger granite, and metamorphic rocks, which occur as a roof pendant on the granitoid (Fig. 1). The granitic rocks in the Ryoke belt are low-susceptibility, ilmenite-series granitoid (Ishihara, 1981). Weakly mylonitized coarse-grained hornblende-biotite older granite, which strikes approximately ENE-WSW and dips subvertically, is widely exposed in the south of the island (Arita, 1988). Within the older granite, about 25 smallscale dextral ductile shear zones with a thickness ranging from several centimeters to a few meters are observed around the southernmost coastal area of the island. The shear zones have S-C$\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ fabrics and shear zone orientation strike WNW with subvertical dip, that crosscuts an older mylonitic foliation striking ENE.

The analyzed S-C mylonite sample (Fig. 2) was collected as a half side of the cross section of an entire shear zone that strikes $\mathrm{N} 59^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ with vertical dip and is about $50^{\circ}$ oblique to the older foliation in the surrounding host older granite ( $\mathrm{N} 62-74^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 90^{\circ}$ ). The S-foliation
(Fig. 2) is defined by a shape fabric of biotite, quartz aggregate and elongated porphyroclasts. A sigmoidal deflection of the $S$ foliation varying in angles with respect to the shear zone center (C-plane) from $60^{\circ}$ to $10^{\circ}$ gives a dextral shear sense (Fig. 2). This shear zone can be divided into three by grade of mylonitization; strongly, moderately and weakly mylonitized zones (SMZ, MMZ, WMZ). The distance from the shear zone center for each zone is $0-6 \mathrm{~cm}$ for SMZ, $6-14 \mathrm{~cm}$ for MMZ and over 14 cm for WMZ. Shear bands ( $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ ), which are inclined at $5-25^{\circ}$ clockwise to the C-plane dominate at the MMZ to SMZ near the shear zone center (Fig. 3). This oblique angle range between $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ and C is the as same as that described by Michibayashi and Murakami (2007).

## 3. Sample preparation and analytical methods

The sample analyzed covers about 35 cm from the shear zone center where the C plane and stretching lineation can be observed (Fig. 2). The angle between the C plane and the cut plane is about


Fig. 2. (a) The analyzed $S-C\left(-C^{\prime}\right)$ mylonite cut subparallel to the $X Z$ plane. Sigmoidal deflection of the $S$ foliation (white lines) gives a dextral shear sense. (b) Locations and labels of core and thin section samples.


Fig. 3. Close-up near the shear zone center showing S-C-C' fabric. Scale corresponds to Fig. 2 and this picture is located at the top of Fig. 2.
$75^{\circ}$, and thus, the polished surface (Fig. 2a) is not exactly the $X Z$ plane. When we estimated shear strain $\gamma$, using $\gamma=2 / \tan 2 \theta_{0}$ after Ramsay and Graham (1970), we corrected the measured apparent angle $\theta_{\mathrm{o}}$ into the real angle $\theta_{\mathrm{r}}$ as a formula, $\tan \theta_{\mathrm{r}}=\cos 15^{\circ} \tan \theta_{\mathrm{o}}$ where $15^{\circ}$ is the angle between the $X Z$ plane and the sample plane. However, the difference between $\theta_{\mathrm{o}}$ and $\theta_{\mathrm{r}}$ is within the measuring error (for example, $\theta_{\mathrm{r}}=45^{\circ}$ in the case where $\theta_{\mathrm{o}}=46^{\circ}$ for sample 8a). Therefore, no correction for the $15^{\circ}$ obliquity above is included in the analysis. Cylindrical core samples were drilled into the direction subparallel (about $15^{\circ}$ ) to the $Y$-axis normal to the polished $X Z$ plane (Fig. 2b). Twenty-four cylindrical oriented specimens (diameter $=2.54 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) were extracted by drilling with a non-magnetic diamond drill bit. AMS measurements were performed on 2.54 cm (diameter) $\times 2.2 \mathrm{~cm}$ (length) core samples, cut from the oriented specimens using a diamond tipped nonmagnetic saw blade. Thin sections subparallel to the $X Z$ plane were also prepared from the oriented core samples. Little asymmetric fabric was detected in the $Y Z$ thin sections, therefore the mylonite was characterized as having monoclinic fabric symmetry. Accordingly, we measured the fabrics and content of mafic minerals for each cylindrical sample in only the $X Z$ section.

### 3.1. Microscopic analyses

To compare petrofabrics with the AMS data, foliations defined by biotite and hornblende were analyzed. All individual grains of biotite and hornblende within the same $16 \times 16 \mathrm{~mm}$ sample areas as the BSE images (samples 3a to 8a) were analyzed, if grains of these minerals could be resolved microscopically. The minimum grain size measured is about $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ using 10 (eyepiece) $\times 20$ (objective) lenses. The length of long axis $a$ and short axes $b$, the angle $\theta$ between the long axis of biotite (+hornblende) and the C plane, and the modal percentage of each mineral were measured for samples 3a to 8a microscopically by point-counting (Fig. 4). The grain orientation $(\theta)$ data are shown as rose diagrams (Fig. 4). The grain size $d$ of each mineral is defined as $d=(a b)^{1 / 2}$, and the grain area $s$, as $s=\pi a b / 4$ to approximate an ellipse. The biotite (+hornblende) grains were divided into two groups: one with orientation subparallel to S , and the other subparallel to $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$. The area $s$ of the grains in both groups was compared (Fig. 4). The mean grain
areas subparallel to $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ are a little smaller than or nearly the same as those parallel to S .

The mean vectors $\theta_{\mathrm{m}}$ of long axis orientations for the biotite + hornblende in each sample were statistically calculated. $\theta_{\mathrm{m}}$ and $\theta_{0}$ correspond to each other within a difference of $2^{\circ}$ (Fig. 4), which is within the measuring error for $\theta_{0}$ from the sample. The shape preferred orientation (SPO) of biotite does not show random or symmetric unimodal distribution but is polymodal in the MMZ and broad in the WMZ (Fig. 4). To clarify the nature of the distribution of the SPO, we employed Watson's test for circular uniformity and von Mises distribution (Stephens, 1970; Jammalamadaka and SenGupta, 2001). The von Mises distribution is a symmetric unimordal distribution on a circle, which is analogous to the normal (Gaussian) distribution in linear data. Using Watson's test for circular uniformity, all the orientation data $\theta_{\mathrm{m}}$ give circular uniformity at the significance level of $5 \%$, which implies that all the SPO are statistically relevant, not random. The results of Watson's test for the von Mises distribution show all the samples excepting no. 8a do not follow the von Mises distribution at the significant level of $5 \%$. This means that the SPOs of samples 3a to 7a are not unimodal. Several identified peaks must indicate the development of the composite planar fabrics such as $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{C}$, and $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ in the shear zone as mentioned later.

### 3.2. BSE image analyses

Back-scattered electron (BSE) images (Fig. 5) were collected from polished thin sections, 1a to 8a, using an EPMA (JXA-8900) at the Department of Earth Sciences, Waseda University, to determine the fabrics of biotite and hornblende. Excepting biotite (partly altered chlorite) and hornblende, the BSE bright minerals such as opaque minerals are negligible (less than $0.1 \%$ in mode). The BSE images of biotite and hornblende are fairly bright reflecting a high mean atomic number, therefore biotite and hornblende were extracted together as binary images of the BSE (Fig. 5). The imaging area is $4 \mathrm{~mm} \times 4 \mathrm{~mm}$ for $800 \times 800$ pixels (i.e. a resolution of $5 \mu \mathrm{~m} /$ pixel), and 16 areas are combined as a BSE image (Fig. 5).

The characteristics of the BSE image analysis are that (1) each domain is composed of an individual grain or an aggregate of grains of biotite and hornblende, (2) biotite and hornblende may be measured as very fine-grained domains especially in the


Fig. 4. Fabric data for mafic minerals and recrystallized quartz of S-C mylonite. Rose diagrams indicate the orientation of biotite (+hornblende) grains. The radius $r$ of the rose diagrams is presented as the square root of the relative frequency. $f_{\text {So }^{\prime}}$, orientation frequency of biotite ( + hornblende) subparallel ( $\pm 10^{\circ}$ ) to the observed S plane ( $\mathrm{S}_{0}$, broken line), $f_{\mathrm{C}^{\prime}}$, orientation frequency of biotite ( + hornblende) at an angle interval of $-5^{\circ}$ to $-25^{\circ}$ (observed angle interval of $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ on the sample surface and under the microscope) with respect to the C plane. The modal \% of biotite (+hornblende), geometric mean and mode of grain size $\left(d=(a b)^{1 / 2}\right)$ of recrystallized quartz and of biotite (+hornblende), and calculated mean grain area $(s=\pi a b / 4)$ for biotite (+hornblende) orientations measured in division of $\theta_{\mathrm{So}}$ and $\theta_{\mathrm{C}^{\prime}}$ are shown.


Fig. 5. Orientation data as rose diagrams from back-scattered electron (BSE) images of polished thin sections 1a to 8a (left pictures) and of binary images of BSE extracted biotite and minor hornblende (right pictures). Using the binary BSE images, the area of each bright domain and the angle between the long axis of approximated ellipse for each domain and C were measured by Scion (NIH) Image. $f_{\text {So }}$, orientation frequency of the bright domain subparallel ( $\pm 10^{\circ}$ ) to the observed $S$ plane ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{o}}$ ), $f_{\mathrm{C}^{\prime}}$, orientation frequency of the bright domain at an angle interval of $-5^{\circ}$ to $-25^{\circ}$ with respect to the C plane. $\phi_{\mathrm{m}}$ : The mean measured angle of orientation for bright domains with respect to C . The modal \% of the brighter domain is also calculated.


Fig. 5. (continued).


Fig. 6. Photomicrographs of the analyzed S-C mylonite (crossed polarized). (a) Coarse-grained biotite flakes constituting S foliation in WMZ (sample 8b). (b) Assembly of secondary biotites constituting S foliation in WMZ (samples 5a, b, c). (c) Biotite flakes constituting S in MMZ (sample 4b). (d) Tails of biotite constituting a major shear band ( $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ ) in MMZ (sample 3b). (e) Minor shear bands ( $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ ) in fine-grained aggregate of feldspar-quartz-biotite in SMZ (sample 2b). The orientation of fine-gained biotite flakes (black bars) is commonly clockwise oblique to the $S$. (f) Interlayering recrystallized quartz aggregate and very fine-grained feldspar-quartz-biotite aggregate in SMZ with $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ shear bands (sample 1b). Qtz, quartz; Fds, feldspar; Pl, plagioclase; Kfs, K-feldspar; Bt, biotite.

SMZ, and (3) the characteristics of the domains are easily quantified by using Adobe Photoshop ${ }^{\circledR}$ and Scion (NIH) Image software.

In the same way as the SPO from the optical microscope, all the SPOs from BSE images show neither a uniform distribution from the Watson test nor a circular distribution from the von Mises test
at the significance level of $5 \%$. The angle between the mean vector of the measured domains and the C plane is labeled as $\phi_{\mathrm{m}}$. The percentage area of the BSE bright minerals (biotite and hornblende) was also calculated as modal data. The labels of grouping criteria for orientations assigned to S and $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ are in addition to those for microscopic analyses as mentioned above (see Fig. 5a,b).

Table 1
AMS-orientation data and anisotropy parameters after Jelinek (1981)

| sample | $K_{\text {mean }}\left(\times 10^{-6} \mathrm{SI}\right)$ | Pj | $T$ | $K_{\text {max }}$.Dec | $K_{\text {max }}$.Inc | $K_{\text {int }}$.Dec | $K_{\text {int }}$ Inc | $K_{\text {max }}$.Dec | $K_{\text {max }}$.Inc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1a | $112.6 \pm 1.3$ | $1.119 \pm 0.003$ | $0.551 \pm 0.047$ | $65 \pm 0.5$ | $6.4 \pm 0.8$ | $176 \pm 2.3$ | $73 \pm 0.0$ | $333 \pm 0.7$ | $16 \pm 0.4$ |
| 2a | $123.0 \pm 3.2$ | $1.100 \pm 0.002$ | $0.488 \pm 0.024$ | $72 \pm 1.6$ | $5.5 \pm 1.1$ | $185 \pm 5.6$ | $76 \pm 0.5$ | $341 \pm 1.5$ | $13 \pm 0.0$ |
| 3a | $116.2 \pm 2.1$ | $1.070 \pm 0.001$ | $0.470 \pm 0.011$ | $258 \pm 0.0$ | $2.3 \pm 1.1$ | $163 \pm 2.9$ | $70 \pm 0.4$ | $349 \pm 0.7$ | $20 \pm 0.0$ |
| 4a | $104.7 \pm 0.4$ | $1.066 \pm 0.001$ | $0.390 \pm 0.010$ | $254 \pm 1.9$ | $5.5 \pm 0.9$ | $149 \pm 3.8$ | $69 \pm 0.5$ | $346 \pm 1.5$ | $21 \pm 0.4$ |
| 5a | $145.2 \pm 1.3$ | $1.082 \pm 0.000$ | $0.373 \pm 0.015$ | $244 \pm 0.4$ | $2.8 \pm 0.4$ | $145 \pm 0.4$ | $71 \pm 0.4$ | $334 \pm 0.8$ | $19 \pm 0.4$ |
| 6a | $144.0 \pm 2.2$ | $1.073 \pm 0.001$ | $0.509 \pm 0.018$ | $240 \pm 1.6$ | $1.5 \pm 0.5$ | $150 \pm 5.5$ | $69 \pm 0.4$ | $330 \pm 0.8$ | $21 \pm 0.4$ |
| 7a | $146.9 \pm 0.4$ | $1.079 \pm 0.001$ | $0.486 \pm 0.034$ | $57 \pm 1.3$ | $2.3 \pm 1.1$ | $152 \pm 3.3$ | $63 \pm 0.4$ | $326 \pm 1.0$ | $27 \pm 0.4$ |
| 8a | $173.8 \pm 0.5$ | $1.091 \pm 0.000$ | $0.435 \pm 0.019$ | $45 \pm 0.5$ | $3.0 \pm 1.6$ | $142 \pm 4.0$ | $67 \pm 0.5$ | $313 \pm 0.4$ | $23 \pm 0.4$ |
| 1b | $101.0 \pm 0.3$ | $1.105 \pm 0.001$ | $0.505 \pm 0.024$ | $75 \pm 0.0$ | $6.3 \pm 1.3$ | $187 \pm 4.4$ | $74 \pm 0.5$ | $344 \pm 0.5$ | $15 \pm 0.0$ |
| 2b | $121.8 \pm 2.2$ | $1.097 \pm 0.003$ | $0.485 \pm 0.009$ | $78 \pm 1.2$ | $0.5 \pm 0.5$ | $169 \pm 2.9$ | $74 \pm 0.0$ | $348 \pm 1.3$ | $16 \pm 0.0$ |
| 3b | $133.1 \pm 0.4$ | $1.165 \pm 0.000$ | $-0.087 \pm 0.004$ | $266 \pm 0.0$ | $0.0 \pm 0.0$ | $176 \pm 0.7$ | $23 \pm 0.0$ | $356 \pm 1.3$ | $67 \pm 0.0$ |
| 4b | $108.6 \pm 2.1$ | $1.058 \pm 0.002$ | $0.448 \pm 0.008$ | $250 \pm 0.4$ | $1.8 \pm 1.1$ | $154 \pm 4.3$ | $75 \pm 0.4$ | $341 \pm 0.5$ | $15 \pm 0.4$ |
| 5b | $124.1 \pm 2.9$ | $1.080 \pm 0.002$ | $0.405 \pm 0.008$ | $241 \pm 0.5$ | $3.5 \pm 0.5$ | $141 \pm 0.7$ | $72 \pm 0.5$ | $332 \pm 0.8$ | $18 \pm 0.0$ |
| 6b | $122.0 \pm 1.7$ | $1.080 \pm 0.001$ | $0.444 \pm 0.031$ | $63 \pm 0.9$ | $2.3 \pm 1.3$ | $160 \pm 4.7$ | $72 \pm 0.4$ | $332 \pm 0.4$ | $18 \pm 0.4$ |
| 7b | $169.2 \pm 1.0$ | $1.087 \pm 0.000$ | $0.485 \pm 0.042$ | $52 \pm 0.4$ | $3.3 \pm 0.4$ | $149 \pm 1.4$ | $67 \pm 0.5$ | $321 \pm 0.5$ | $23 \pm 0.4$ |
| 8b | $161.8 \pm 2.4$ | $1.091 \pm 0.002$ | $0.321 \pm 0.027$ | $229 \pm 1.7$ | $0.3 \pm 0.4$ | $138 \pm 2.1$ | $65 \pm 0.4$ | $319 \pm 1.3$ | $25 \pm 0.4$ |
| 9b | $144.8 \pm 2.7$ | $1.092 \pm 0.002$ | $0.309 \pm 0.031$ | $53 \pm 0.5$ | $2.5 \pm 0.5$ | $147 \pm 1.2$ | $65 \pm 0.5$ | $322 \pm 0.5$ | $25 \pm 0.0$ |
| 1c | $105.5 \pm 0.7$ | $1.107 \pm 0.001$ | $0.493 \pm 0.011$ | $69 \pm 0.9$ | $5.5 \pm 1.1$ | $177 \pm 3.8$ | $73 \pm 0.4$ | $337 \pm 0.4$ | $16 \pm 0.4$ |
| 2c | $116.9 \pm 1.6$ | $1.099 \pm 0.002$ | $0.493 \pm 0.046$ | $71 \pm 1.4$ | $3.0 \pm 11$ | $173 \pm 5.5$ | $75 \pm 0.5$ | $341 \pm 1.1$ | $15 \pm 0.6$ |
| 3 c | $109.4 \pm 1.5$ | $1.066 \pm 0.001$ | $0.519 \pm 0.017$ | $79 \pm 0.8$ | $6.0 \pm 1.2$ | $192 \pm 4.5$ | $75 \pm 0.7$ | $348 \pm 0.4$ | $14 \pm 0.4$ |
| 4c | $98.8 \pm 3.4$ | $1.057 \pm 0.002$ | $0.372 \pm 0.015$ | $253 \pm 0.0$ | $2.0 \pm 0.7$ | $156 \pm 2.9$ | $73 \pm 0.4$ | $344 \pm 0.5$ | $17 \pm 0.5$ |
| 5 c | $127.3 \pm 1.3$ | $1.058 \pm 0.001$ | $0.470 \pm 0.022$ | $234 \pm 1.8$ | $4.0 \pm 2.5$ | $134 \pm 7.1$ | $68 \pm 0.0$ | $326 \pm 1.1$ | $22 \pm 0.5$ |
| 6c | $146.7 \pm 2.3$ | $1.083 \pm 0.001$ | $0.487 \pm 0.033$ | $242 \pm 0.8$ | $0.8 \pm 0.8$ | $151 \pm 3.3$ | $71 \pm 0.0$ | $333 \pm 1.1$ | $19 \pm 0.0$ |
| 7c | $144.4 \pm 2.9$ | $1.085 \pm 0.001$ | $0.304 \pm 0.048$ | $229 \pm 0.4$ | $5.5 \pm 0.9$ | $124 \pm 2.1$ | $70 \pm 0.4$ | $321 \pm 0.5$ | $20 \pm 0.4$ |

## 4. Variation of fabrics across the shear zone

### 4.1. Weakly mylonitized zone (WMZ): characteristics of samples 9 to 5

The mylonitic foliation of the protolith in sample $9 b$ is around $50^{\circ}$ anticlockwise with respect to the C plane of the dextral shear zone, and so the $S$ planes at about $46^{\circ}$ with respect to the $C$ plane are nearly parallel to the original mylonitic foliation. Accordingly, shear strain, $\gamma$, was not estimated using $\theta_{0}$ in the WMZ.

Toward the shear zone, individual quartz grains, which show an elongate shape with strong undulose extinction, decrease in size progressively from $195 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ at sample 8a to $147 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ at sample 5a (Fig. 4) and form the matrix. Euhedral to subhedral feldspar grains decrease in size progressively, and become anhedral and rounded gradually forming porphyroclasts toward the shear zone. Biotite (4.1-6.9 modal \%; Fig. 4) occurs as oriented subhedral grains, occasionally forming mica fish (Fig. 6a) with undulose extinction, acicular flakes, and secondary aggregates (Fig. 6b). Biotite is partly altered to chlorite. Hornblende ( $\sim 0.4$ modal \%; Fig. 4) is a minor mafic mineral. Toward the shear zone, $\theta_{0}$ gradually decreases from $46^{\circ}$ to $22^{\circ}$, whereas $\theta_{\mathrm{m}}$ gradually decreases from $44^{\circ}$ to $24^{\circ}$ (Fig. 4), and $\phi_{\mathrm{m}}$ gradually decreases from $48^{\circ}$ to $20^{\circ}$ (Fig. 5). The frequency of mineral orientation subparallel ( $\pm 10^{\circ}$ ) to the $S_{0}$ ranges $17-27 \%$ (microscopic data in Fig. 4) and 14-15\% (BSE image data in Fig. 5b), whereas that subparallel $\left( \pm 10^{\circ}\right)$ to the $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ ranges $7-12 \%$ (microscopic data in Fig. 4) and 10-13\% (BSE image data in Fig. 5b) even though the shear bands are rarely observed in the WMZ under the microscope.

### 4.2. Moderately mylonitized zone (MMZ): characteristics of samples 4 and 3

Samples 4 and 3 have composite foliations S-C(-C') defined by the orientation of elongate quartz aggregates, and aggregates of biotite $\pm$ hornblende. Each recrystallized quartz grain has elongate shape fabric oblique to $S$. The grain size of recrystallized quartz decreases down to $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ within the MMZ (Fig. 4). Feldspar grains are subhedral and porphyroclastic with undulose extinction.

Biotite content slightly increases (7.1-7.5 modal \%; Fig. 4) from the WMZ to MMZ. It occurs as oriented subhedral grains with undulose extinction. Secondary (recrystallized) biotite flakes also form aggregates defining the $S$ foliation. Porphyroclastic feldspars are surrounded by matrix minerals including biotite flakes forming asymmetric tails (Fig. 6d). Hornblende is a minor mafic mineral ( $0.4-0.8$ modal \%; Fig. 4) and its elongation fabric defines $S$ together with biotite flakes. Toward the shear zone, $\theta_{0}$ decreases from $16^{\circ}$ to $12^{\circ}$ (i.e. from $\gamma=3.4$ to 4.7 ), whereas $\theta_{\mathrm{m}}$ decreases from $17^{\circ}$ to $13^{\circ}$ (Fig. 4), and $\phi_{\mathrm{m}}$ decreases from $4.5^{\circ}$ to $1.5^{\circ}$ (Fig. 5a). In samples 4a and 3a, the orientation of biotite and hornblende parallel to the $S$ foliation is $24-26 \%$. The portion of the grains that are parallel to the C plane is $22-23 \%$, which is a gradual increase from sample 9a to 3a. In addition, the orientation parallel to the $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ plane presents about $15-18 \%$ increase from those in the WMZ (5$11 \%$ ) (Fig. 4). The angle $\phi$ is less than $\theta$ especially in sample 3a, because of the feature in a sampling artifact. $S$ and $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ in BSE images usually appear in combined forms, so the brighter areas of $S$ and $C^{\prime}$ often merge in binary BSE images. Such combined fabrics are counted as one domain. Consequently, the angles measured by Scion Image yield an orientation between S and $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$, and thus the angle $\phi_{\mathrm{m}}$ is less than $\theta_{\mathrm{m}}$.

### 4.3. Strongly mylonitized zone (SMZ): characteristics of samples 2 and 1

Fine-grained biotite-feldspar-quartz aggregate layers are strongly developed parallel to S and C (Fig. 6e). The number of layers increases toward the center of the shear zone. Small-scale C ${ }^{\prime}$ planes are pervasively developed within the layers (Fig. 6f). The grain size of recrystallized quartz in samples 2a and 1a continues inward decreasing to $67 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (Fig. 4), which is finer than in the MSZ. Quartz aggregates show strongly elongate shapes commonly parallel to $S$ and each quartz grain has strong undulose extinction. Feldspar grains occur as porphyroclasts and also as a fine-grained matrix aggregate. Most biotite flakes are very fine-grained and are anticlockwise oblique to S (Fig. 6e,f). A few larger subhedral biotite flakes occur with undulose extinction. Hornblende grains are rare. In the SMZ , the angle of $\theta_{\mathrm{o}}$ is $13-15^{\circ}$, which is larger than sample 3a,

 and $C$.


Fig. 8. Frequency histogram for bulk mean susceptibility $K_{\text {mean }}$.
and also increases from $5^{\circ}$ to $15^{\circ}$ toward the center of the shear zone (Fig. 4).

## 5. Magnetic analysis

AMS was measured at room temperature on a KLY-3S Spinner Kappabridge (Agico, Inc., Brno, Czech Republic) at the Department of Earth Science, Waseda University (Table 1). Magnetic susceptibilities were measured four times and the arithmetic mean and the standard deviation of the data were calculated. Equal area, lower hemisphere projections of $K_{\max }, K_{\mathrm{int}}$ and $K_{\text {min }}$ are illustrated in Fig. 7. The inclinations of $K_{\text {max }}$ in all samples are nearly horizontal (0.0-6.4 ${ }^{\circ}$ : Table 1), therefore the angle between the strike of the $K_{\max }-K_{\text {int }}$ plane and of C approximates to the angle between $K_{\max }$ and C, and is labeled as $\psi$ (Fig. 7).

As AMS is controlled by the sum of the contribution of each magnetic (diamagnetic, paramagnetic, ferromagnetic) mineral type in proportion to its volume fraction, characterizing the magnetic minerals in a sample is necessary. Diamagnetic minerals such as quartz and feldspar, which have weak and opposite susceptibility to the applied field have negligible effect in practice. Although high

Table 2
ARM data and approximate volume percentage of magnetite calculated.

| Sample | $($ ARM $)$ sample $(\mathrm{mA} / \mathrm{m})^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Volume fraction <br> of magnetite |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1c | 0.9 | $2.25 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| 2c | 0.6 | $1.50 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| 3c | 0.0 | $0.00 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| 4c | 0.2 | $0.50 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| 5c | 0.3 | $0.75 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| 6c | 0.4 | $1.00 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| 7c | 0.8 | $2.00 \times 10^{-6}$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ (ARM)sample $\div($ ARM $)$ magnetite $\left[=400 \times 10^{3}(\mathrm{~mA} / \mathrm{m})\right]$. Vol. \% of magnetite $=$ vol. fraction of magnetite $\times 100$.
susceptible ferromagnetic minerals mask the paramagnetic contribution to AMS, our bulk mean susceptibility ( $K_{\text {mean }}=$ $\left(K_{\max }+K_{\text {int }}+K_{\min }\right) / 3\left(\mathrm{SI}\right.$ unit)) ranges from 98.8 to $173.8 \times 10^{-6}$ (SI), consistent with the paramagnetic mineral control of AMS (Hrouda and Jelinek, 1990; Tarling and Hrouda, 1993). The histogram of $K_{\text {mean }}$ measured for 24 core samples also shows a unimodal pattern (Fig. 8) consistent with paramagnetic minerals controlling AMS in the analyzed sample (Gleizes et al., 1993). An acquisition test of anhysteretic remnant magnetization (ARM) was used to determine if ferromagnetic minerals contributed to the AMS. Because ARM is only acquired by remanence-carrier minerals, such as ferromagnetic magnetite, the intensity of ARM acquisition is proportional to the volume fraction of ferromagnetic minerals (Jackson, 1991; Dunlop and Özdemir, 1997; Usui et al., 2006). Laboratory-induced ARM was acquired with an AF demagnetizer (Natsuhara-Giken DEM95) in a peak alternating-field (AF) of 120 mA and a bias field of $100 \mu \mathrm{~T}$ on samples $1 \mathrm{c}-7 \mathrm{c}$. The calculated volume fraction of ferromagnetic magnetite in a sample from ARM intensities yields typical results of $10^{-6}$ volume \% of magnetite, implying negligible magnetite contribution to the AMS (Table 2). Therefore paramagnetic minerals control the AMS and are dominated by biotite plus hornblende.

Such paramagnetic silicates can be directly related to the symmetry of the crystallographic lattice (Nye, 1985). As noted in Borradaile and Jackson (2004), only one AMS axis is parallel to the crystallographic $b$-axis for monoclinic minerals such as biotite and hornblende. In addition, $K_{\text {min }}$ of mica is nearly parallel to the $c$-axis, i.e., the magnetic foliation, defined as the plane nearly normal to $K_{\min }$, and statistically lies in the mica basal plane (Zapletal, 1990). $K_{\text {max }}$ of amphibole is nearly parallel to the long dimension of the


Fig. 9. (a) Variation in angles $\theta_{\mathrm{o}}$ (observed S orientation), $\theta_{\mathrm{m}}$ (mean vector for orientation of biotite + hornblende measured under the microscope), $\phi_{\mathrm{m}}$ (mean vector for orientation of biotite + hornblende measured from the BSE image) and $\psi\left(K_{\max }\right.$ direction) with respect to C across the shear zone from samples 8 to 1 (shear zone center). WMZ, MMZ and SMZ indicates weakly, moderately and strongly mylonitized zones, respectively. (b) Correlations of the angles between $\theta_{\mathrm{m}}$ and $\phi_{\mathrm{m}}$ with respect to j .
crystal, i.e., it is and will be parallel to a prominent amphibole lineation, if present (Pearce and Fueten, 1989). The $P_{j}$ ranges from 1.057 to 1.119 , and the $T$ ranges from 0.30 to 0.55 except sample 3b, suggesting most samples have an oblate AMS ellipsoid (Table 1).

The AMS results (Fig. 7) lack evidence of inverse magnetic fabrics where $K_{\max }$ is the pole to the foliation of the rocks (Rochette et al., 1994) being a composite magnetic fabric where $K_{\max }$ is parallel to the intersection of two planar fabrics (e.g., Borradaile, 1988; Housen et al., 1993). Our results are similar to those of Aranguren et al. (1996), where the magnetic foliation, defined as the plane normal to $K_{\text {min }}$, lies between the $S$ and C planes. In the WMZ (samples 9 to 5 ), $\psi$ is $26-45^{\circ}$, decreasing toward the shear zone. In the MMZ (samples 4 to 3$), \psi$ is $11-20^{\circ}$, decreasing toward the shear zone center. In the SMZ (samples 2 to 1 ), $\psi$ is $12-25^{\circ}$, increasing toward the shear zone. The inclination of $K_{\text {int }}$ for all samples except for sample 3 b is fairly constant, ranging from $63^{\circ}$ to $76^{\circ}$, and coincides with the dip angle ( $\sim 75^{\circ}$ ) of the sample plane (Fig. 2). The magnetic foliation of sample 3 b has a distinct difference from the others presumably because of the presence of large porphyroclasts within it deflecting grains defining the foliation, therefore the data from sample 3 b are not included in the following interpretations.

## 6. Discussion

S-C mylonite provides composite magnetic fabric, where the magnetic foliation was considered to be in between $S$ and $C$, because of the additive effect of two planar structures (Aranguren et al., 1996). However, our results for a small-scale shear zone are more complex.

The orientation data (Fig. 9) show that toward the center of the shear zone, the angles ( $\theta_{\mathrm{o}}, \theta_{\mathrm{m}}, \phi_{\mathrm{m}}$ and $\psi$ ) gradually decrease until sample 3 where the angles show minimums, and then increase to the center of the shear zone, where the angles gradually increase, which is contrary to expectation. Although shear strain $\gamma$ can be calculated from $\theta_{0}$, the angle between the $S$ and $C$ planes (e.g. Ramsay and Graham, 1970), this method is not directly applicable in the SMZ (samples 2 and 1 ), because the occurrence of slip along $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ which is dominantly developed in the SMZ (Fig. 3) brought about the back rotation of microlithon increasing $\theta_{0}$ angles (cf. Lister and Snoke, 1984; Platt, 1984; Dennis and Secor, 1987; Takagi, 1992). It is also notable that even with the $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ planes, the AMS $K_{\text {max }}$ orientation $(\psi)$ in the SSZ also increases toward the shear zone center. This increase in $\psi$ is similar to that of $\phi_{\mathrm{s}}$, whereas that for $\theta_{\mathrm{o}}$ is more gentle (see Fig. 9a). Such difference in the inclination between $\phi_{s}$ and $\theta_{0}$ is probably because the SPO of very fine-grained biotite flakes in the SMZ tends to be anticlockwise to the trace of mesoscopic $S$ foliation. This geometry is well documented in sample 1a where the angle for $20-40^{\circ}$ with respect to C has considerable peaks larger than the peak of $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ in Fig. 5. Therefore, the abrupt increase in $\psi$ in samples 2 and 1 is interpreted to result from individual fine-grained biotite flakes in the matrix of mylonite that is oblique (anticlockwise) to S (Fig. 6e,f). As a result even though some amount of parallel biotite flakes are developed in the SMZ along the $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ planes, the mean orientation of biotite flakes or even biotite aggregates (bright domain in BSE image) is greater than $\theta_{0}$ for the observed orientation of $S$.

In samples 8 to 5 (WMZ) where $S$ plane dominates, the AMS orientation $\psi$ almost corresponds to $\theta_{\mathrm{m}}$ and $\theta_{0}$, and is a little larger than $\phi_{\mathrm{m}}$ (measured from BSE images) (Fig. 9). In samples 4 and 3 (MMZ) where S-C fabric is present, $\psi$ almost corresponds to $\theta_{\mathrm{m}}$ and $\theta_{\mathrm{o}}$ as well as in WMZ, but does not correspond to $\phi_{\mathrm{m}}$. The angle $\phi_{\mathrm{m}}$ is smaller than $\theta_{\mathrm{m}}$ because of the measurement characteristics of the BSE images recording the bright domain that are aggregates of biotite (+hornblende). In samples 2 and 1 where the $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ plane is
dominantly developed, the angle $\phi_{\mathrm{m}}$ is smaller than $\theta_{\mathrm{m}}$ for the same reason as in MMZ.

In summary, the $K_{\text {max }}$ orientation $\psi$ coincides with the mean vector of the preferred orientation of individual biotite (+hornblende) grains, but does not coincide well with the mean vector of the preferred orientation of the bright domain given the aggregate rather than the individual grain geometry is composed of biotite (+hornblende). This coincidence supports the interpretation that the magnetic fabric reflects contributions from the anisotropy of the SPO of paramagnetic biotite.
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